

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Family Name</b>	Lever
<b>Given Name</b>	Karen
<b>Person ID</b>	1287542
<b>Title</b>	Stakeholder Submission
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Family Name</b>	Lever
<b>Given Name</b>	Karen
<b>Person ID</b>	1287542
<b>Title</b>	JPA 2: Stakehill
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	<p>There is no need to use these areas when there are plenty of brownfield sites many of them currently eyesores in the community</p> <p>There is not enough schools play areas doctors etc to accommodate more people in this area</p>
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Use brownfield not green belt
<b>Family Name</b>	Lever
<b>Given Name</b>	Karen
<b>Person ID</b>	1287542
<b>Title</b>	JPA 20: Castleton Sidings
<b>Type</b>	Web

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	There is no need to use green belt use brown fill sites there are plenty of brownfield that have schools colleges medical help and near businesses without building on green belt areas which don't have enough access to resources and services
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Not sound to build on greenbelt when there is brown field sites available many of which are now an eyesore
<b>Family Name</b>	Lever
<b>Given Name</b>	Karen
<b>Person ID</b>	1287542
<b>Title</b>	JPA 25: Trows Farm
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not</b>	There is no need to use green belt use brown fill sites there are plenty of brownfield that have schools colleges medical help and near businesses without building on green belt areas which don't have enough access to resources and services

**to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.**

**Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.**

Build on brownfield not greenbelt  
Just scrap the plan